

## APPLICATION OF PAPKOVICH–NEUBER POTENTIALS TO A CRACK PROBLEM

MUMTAZ K. KASSIR

Department of Civil Engineering,  
The City College of the City University of New York, New York 10031

and

GEORGE C. SIH

Institute of Fracture and Solid Mechanics, Lehigh University,  
Bethlehem, Pennsylvania 18015

**Abstract**—The problem of an elastic solid containing a semi-infinite plane crack subjected to concentrated shears parallel to the edge of the crack is considered in this paper. A closed form solution using four harmonic functions is found to satisfy the finite displacement and inverse square root stress singularity at the edge of the crack. Explicit expressions in terms of elementary functions are given for the distribution of stress and displacement in the solid. These are obtained by employing Fourier and Kontorovich–Lebedev integral transforms and certain singular solutions of Laplace equations in three dimensions. The variations of the intensity of the local stress field along the crack border are shown graphically.

### INTRODUCTION

THE solution to the problem of concentrated normal and shear forces applied to the surfaces of a semi-infinite plane crack serves as the Green's function for a number of crack problems of interest in fracture mechanics. A sketch of the crack configuration is shown in Fig. 1. In terms of the coordinates indicated, the surfaces of the crack are defined by  $\theta = \mp\pi$ ,  $0 < r < \infty$ ,  $-\infty < z < \infty$ . Without affecting the generality of the problem, the points of application of the loads may be taken as  $r = a$ ,  $\theta = \mp\pi$ ,  $z = 0$ . Because of skew-symmetry, it suffices to consider a half-space  $y \geq 0$  with mixed conditions specified on the plane  $y = 0$ . It was Uflyand [1] who made the first attempt to solve this problem by using only three harmonic functions in the space  $y \geq 0$  and the Kontorovich–Lebedev integral transform in the variable  $r$ . The fourth function in the classical Papkovitch–Neuber representation of the displacement field was arbitrarily neglected. This technique has failed to yield a solution for the case of two equal and opposite forces  $R$  directed parallel to the edge of the crack ([1], page 382).

The objective of this paper is to construct the Green's function for the three-dimensional crack problem under consideration. The analysis showed that four distinct harmonic functions are required to satisfy the finite displacement and the inverse square root of  $r$  conditions as  $r \rightarrow 0$ . The state of displacement and stress throughout the solid is determined in closed form in terms of elementary functions. These are obtained by employing




FIG. 1. Semi-infinite plane crack in elastic solid.

a Fourier transform in the variable  $z$ , Kontorovich–Lebedev transform in  $r$  and singular solutions of the Laplace equation in three dimensions [2]. The stress-intensity factors due to the loading  $R$  are determined explicitly and their variations along the crack border are shown graphically.

### BASIC EQUATIONS

The equilibrium of an isotropic and homogeneous solid is governed by the Navier displacement equations which in the absence of body forces and in vector notations appear as

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{u} + \frac{1}{1-2\nu} \nabla \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathbf{u}$  is the displacement vector,  $\nabla$  is the gradient operator and  $\nu$  designates Poisson's ratio of the material. Denoting the projections of the displacement in the directions of cylindrical co-ordinates by  $(u_r, u_\theta, u_z)$ , the Papkovitch–Neuber general representation of equations (1) gives the displacement field

$$u_r = 4(1-\nu)(f_1 \cos \theta + f_2 \sin \theta) - \frac{\partial F}{\partial r} \quad (2a)$$

$$u_\theta = 4(1-\nu)(f_2 \cos \theta - f_1 \sin \theta) - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta} \quad (2b)$$

$$u_z = 4(1-\nu)f_3 - \frac{\partial F}{\partial z} \quad (2c)$$

in which the following abbreviation has been introduced

$$F = f_0 + (r \cos \theta)f_1 + (r \sin \theta)f_2 + zf_3 \quad (3)$$

and  $f_n(r, \theta, z)$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3$ , are space harmonic functions. The corresponding stress field is readily obtained from equations (2) and (3) and the usual stress–displacement relations in linear elastostatics. In particular the stresses associated with the  $\theta$ -plane are found:

$$\frac{\sigma_\theta}{2\mu} = -(1-2\nu)\left(\cos\theta\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial r} + \sin\theta\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial r}\right) + \frac{2(1-\nu)}{r}\left(\cos\theta\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial\theta} - \sin\theta\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial\theta}\right) + 2\nu\frac{\partial f_3}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial^2 f_0}{\partial r^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f_0}{\partial z^2} - \frac{1}{r}\left(\cos\theta\frac{\partial^2 f_1}{\partial\theta^2} + \sin\theta\frac{\partial^2 f_2}{\partial\theta^2}\right) + z\left(\frac{\partial^2 f_3}{\partial r^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f_3}{\partial z^2}\right) \quad (4a)$$

$$\frac{\tau_{r\theta}}{2\mu} = \frac{\partial G}{\partial r} + \frac{2(1-\nu)}{r}\left(\cos\theta\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial\theta} + \sin\theta\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial\theta}\right) \quad (4b)$$

$$\frac{\tau_{\theta z}}{2\mu} = \frac{\partial G}{\partial z} + \frac{2(1-\nu)}{r}\frac{\partial f_3}{\partial\theta}. \quad (4c)$$

In equations (4),  $\mu$  depicts the shear modulus of the solid and the function  $G(r, \theta, z)$  is defined through the relation

$$G = (1-2\nu)(f_2 \cos\theta - f_1 \sin\theta) - \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial\theta} - (\cos\theta)\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial\theta} - \sin\theta\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial\theta} - \frac{z}{r}\frac{\partial f_3}{\partial\theta}. \quad (5)$$

The remaining stress components  $\sigma_z$ ,  $\sigma_r$  and  $\tau_{rz}$  are not needed for the mere purpose of completing the analysis and will not be mentioned.

### THE PLANE CRACK PROBLEM

Consider the case of a pair of equal and opposite concentrated shear forces  $R$  applied to the surfaces of a plane as shown in Fig. 1 (with  $P = Q = 0$ ). For this problem, the displacements and stresses are skew-symmetric with respect to the variable  $z$ . Hence,  $u_r$ ,  $u_\theta$ ,  $\sigma_\theta$  and  $\tau_{r\theta}$  are odd in  $z$  while  $u_z$  and  $\tau_{\theta z}$  are even in the same variable. In addition, the deformed solid also exhibits skew-symmetry in the co-ordinate  $\theta$ , namely  $u_r$ ,  $u_z$ ,  $\sigma_\theta$  being odd in  $\theta$  and  $u_\theta$ ,  $\tau_{r\theta}$ ,  $\tau_{\theta z}$  even in  $\theta$ . The latter condition suggests that the problem can be formulated for the upper half-space  $y \geq 0$  with appropriate conditions prescribed on the planes  $\theta = 0$  and  $\theta = \pi$ . In observing these conditions, the continuity of the solid across the plane  $\theta = 0$  implies

$$u_r(r, 0, z) = 0 \quad (6a)$$

$$u_z(r, 0, z) = 0 \quad (6b)$$

$$\sigma_\theta(r, 0, z) = 0. \quad (6c)$$

On the upper surface of the crack  $\theta = \pi$ , the loading is described by

$$\tau_{\theta z}(r, \pi, z) = \tau_0(r, z) \quad (7a)$$

$$\tau_{r\theta}(r, \pi, z) = 0 \quad (7b)$$

$$\sigma_\theta(r, \pi, z) = 0 \quad (7c)$$

in which  $\tau_0(r, z)$  is a specified function describing the applied shear loading. Aside from the requirements given by equations (6) and (7), the regularity conditions at infinity must also be satisfied, i.e., the displacements and stresses must behave as  $L^{-1}$  and  $L^{-2}$  when

$$L = (r^2 + z^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow \infty.$$

Furthermore, near the crack boundary ( $r \rightarrow 0$ ), the displacements must be finite and the stresses are expected to have the usual square root singularity,  $r^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ .

Inserting relations (6) into equations (2a), (2c) and (4a) and making use of equation (3), the functions  $f_n$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2, 3$ ) can be determined from the following system of equations in the region  $\theta = 0$

$$(3 - 4\nu)f_1 - \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial r} - r \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial r} - z \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial r} = 0 \tag{8a}$$

$$(3 - 4\nu)f_3 - \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial z} - r \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z} - z \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial z} = 0 \tag{8b}$$

$$(1 - 2\nu) \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial r} + \frac{2(1 - \nu)}{r} \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial \theta} + 2\nu \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial^2 f_0}{\partial r^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f_0}{\partial z^2} - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial^2 f_1}{\partial \theta^2} + z \left( \frac{\partial^2 f_3}{\partial r^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f_3}{\partial z^2} \right) = 0. \tag{8c}$$

Upon recognizing the identity

$$-\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial^2 f_1}{\partial \theta^2} = \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial r} + r \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \right) f_1, \quad \theta = 0 \tag{9}$$

equations (8) are satisfied by requiring

$$f_0(r, 0, z) = 0 \tag{10a}$$

$$f_1(r, 0, z) = 0 \tag{10b}$$

$$f_3(r, 0, z) = 0 \tag{10c}$$

$$\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial \theta}(r, 0, z) = 0. \tag{10d}$$

In a similar manner, conditions (7) when used in conjunction with equation (4), give rise to the following relations for  $\theta = \pi$ , i.e.,

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial z} + \frac{2(1 - \nu)}{r} \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\tau_0(r, z)}{2\mu} \tag{11a}$$

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial r} - \frac{2(1 - \nu)}{r} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \theta} = 0 \tag{11b}$$

$$(1 - 2\nu) \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial r} - \frac{2(1 - \nu)}{r} \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial \theta} + 2\nu \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial^2 f_0}{\partial r^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f_0}{\partial z^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial^2 f_1}{\partial \theta^2} + z \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \right) f_3 = 0 \tag{11c}$$

where  $G$  can be found from

$$G = -(1 - 2\nu)f_2 - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \theta} - \frac{z}{r} \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial \theta}, \quad \theta = \pi. \tag{12}$$

Further simplification may be achieved by setting

$$G = 0, \quad \theta = \pi. \quad (13)$$

On account of equation (13), it follows that

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial G}{\partial r} = 0, \quad \theta = \pi \quad (14)$$

and as an immediate consequence, equations (11a) and (11b) yield the crack-surface conditions

$$\frac{\partial f_3}{\partial \theta} = \frac{r\tau_0(r, z)}{4\mu(1-\nu)}, \quad \theta = \pi \quad (15)$$

$$\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \theta} = 0, \quad \theta = \pi. \quad (16)$$

Equations (15) and (16) together with equations (10b) and (10c) provide the necessary relations for the evaluation of  $f_1$  and  $f_3$ . The remaining functions  $f_0$  and  $f_2$  are obtained from equations (11c), (13), (15), (16) and the fact that the loading is applied at the point  $r = a, z = a$ . This yields

$$(1-2\nu)f_2 - \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial y} = 0, \quad \theta = \pi. \quad (17)$$

From equations (10a) and (10d), it is not difficult to verify that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ (1-2\nu)f_2 - \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial y} \right] = 0, \quad \theta = 0. \quad (18)$$

The mixed conditions (17) and (18) provide a relation between the potentials  $f_2$  and  $\partial f_0/\partial y$  which is given in equation (30). The next step in the analysis is to derive a second relation between the same potentials. This may be accomplished by using equations (11c) and (10). Utilizing the identities

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, & -\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} &= -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \end{aligned} \right\} \theta = \pi \quad (19)$$

equation (11c) can be transformed into

$$2(1-\nu)\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial y} - (1-2\nu)\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x} + 2\nu\frac{\partial f_3}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial^2 f_0}{\partial y^2} - \frac{\partial^2 f_1}{\partial y \partial \theta} - z\frac{\partial^2 f_3}{\partial y^2} = 0, \quad \theta = \pi. \quad (20)$$

At this point, it is expedient to add the term  $[y(\partial^2 f_3/\partial y \partial z)]$  (which vanishes<sup>†</sup> in the region  $\theta = \pi$ ) to both sides of equation (20). The resulting relation takes the form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ 2(1-\nu)f_2 - \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \theta} + y\frac{\partial f_3}{\partial z} - z\frac{\partial f_3}{\partial y} - (1-2\nu) \int_{-\infty}^y \left( \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial z} \right) dy \right] = 0, \quad \theta = \pi. \quad (21)$$

<sup>†</sup> The second derivative of  $f_3$  is assumed to be regular for  $\theta = \pi$ . This condition, incidentally, is easily confirmed once the potential  $f_3$  is known. Refer to equation (26).

The above limits of integration have been introduced to ensure boundedness at the infinitely distant points.

With reference to the region  $\theta = 0$  and making use of some elementary transformations analogous to those in equations (19), equations (10) confirm that the relation (21) is also valid in the region  $\theta = 0$ . This means that equation (21) holds across the entire plane  $y = 0$  of the half space  $y \geq 0$ . The expression inside the bracket in equation (21) is recognized as a harmonic function. It follows from Green's formula [3] that

$$2(1 - \nu)f_2 - \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \theta} + z \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial y} - y \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial z} + (1 - 2\nu) \int_{-\infty}^y \left( \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial z} \right) dy, \quad y \geq 0 \quad (22)$$

exists throughout the entire semi-infinite solid  $y \geq 0$ . Equation (22) provides the second equation for finding  $f_2$  and  $f_0$ .

### METHOD OF SOLUTION

In this section the potentials appearing in the basic displacement representation are determined by employing methods of integral transforms and singular (primitive) solutions of Laplace's equation [2].

The function  $f_3(r, \theta, z)$  is governed by the mixed conditions (10c) and (15). This calls for the application of a Fourier cosine transform with respect to the variable  $z$  and a Kontorovich-Lebedev transform† in  $r$  [1]. Seeking  $f_3(r, \theta, z)$  by the expression

$$f_3 = \left( \frac{2}{\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \phi(s, t) \frac{\sinh(\theta t)}{t \cosh(\pi t)} K_{it}(rs) \cos(sz) \, ds \, dt \quad (23)$$

where  $K_{it}$  is the Macdonald function [4] and  $\phi(s, t)$  is an arbitrary parameter such that equation (10c) is satisfied. By introducing the appropriate inversions and accounting for the concentrated load at  $(r = a, z = 0)$ ,  $\phi(s, t)$  is determined from equation (15) as

$$\phi(s, t) = - \frac{Rt \sinh(\pi t) K_{it}(as)}{(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \pi^2 2\mu(1 - \nu)}. \quad (24)$$

Inserting equation (24) into (23) and employing the integral representation [4]

$$K_{it}(rs) K_{it}(as) = \int_0^{\infty} K_0(s\xi) \cos(t\eta) \, d\eta \quad (25)$$

where

$$\xi = (r^2 + 2ar \cosh \eta + a^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and  $K_0$  is the modified Bessel functions of zero order, the integrals in equations (23) may now be evaluated to render

$$f_3(r, \theta, z) = - \frac{R}{4\mu\pi^2(1 - \nu)\rho} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{[2a(r - x)]}}{\rho} \right) \quad (26)$$

where  $\rho$  represents the distance of any point in  $y \geq 0$  from the point of application of  $R$ , i.e.,

$$\rho = [(x + a)^2 + y^2 + z^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (27)$$

† See Appendix for brief outline of this transform.

The evaluation of  $f_1(r, \theta, z)$  is dictated by the "homogeneous" conditions (10b) and (16) and is governed by the Laplace equation in three dimensions. However, the choice  $f_1 \equiv 0$  is inappropriate as it does not lead to the correct solution (see [1], pages 381-383). This, in fact, is the crucial step in the present analysis and allows the solution to be completed through the use of four harmonic potentials. In order to arrive at the proper expression for  $f_1$ , a singular solution of Laplace equation which satisfies conditions (10b) and (16) and gives rise to well-behaved displacements at infinity is proposed [2]

$$f_1(r, \theta, z) = c_1 \frac{\sin \theta/2}{\sqrt{r}} \text{Im}[g(\zeta)] \quad (28)$$

where  $\text{Im}$  designates the imaginary part of an analytic function of the variable  $\zeta$  defined by

$$\zeta = r + a + iz, \quad i = \sqrt{-1} \quad (29)$$

and  $c_1$  is a constant introduced for convenience of writing the ensuing development. Note that as  $r \rightarrow 0$ , the expression (28) is undefined. On physical ground, however, the displacements must be finite in that region. This condition will be improved later on.

To find the other potentials  $f_0$  and  $f_2$ , equations (17) and (18) suggest the following relation involving the special solution introduced in (28), i.e.,

$$(1-2\nu)f_2 - \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial y} = \frac{A \cos \theta/2}{2\sqrt{r}} \text{Im}[g(\zeta)]. \quad (30)$$

In equations (28) and (30) the function  $g(\zeta)$  as well as the constants  $c_1$  and  $A$  are yet to be found. Solving equations (22) and (30) simultaneously and utilizing equations (26) and (28) yield

$$f_2 = \left\{ \frac{R\sqrt{a}}{4\mu\pi^2(1-\nu)} + \frac{1}{2}[(3-4\nu)c_1 - A] \right\} \frac{\cos \theta/2}{\sqrt{r}} \text{Im}[g(\zeta)] \\ + (1-2\nu) \left\{ \psi(x, y, z) - c_1 \int_{\infty}^y \frac{\sin \theta/2}{\sqrt{r}} \text{Im}[g'(\zeta)] dy \right\} \quad (31a)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial y} = \left\{ \frac{(1-2\nu)R\sqrt{a}}{4\mu\pi^2(1-\nu)} + \frac{1}{2}[(1-2\nu)(3-4\nu)c_1 - 2(1-\nu)A] \right\} \\ \times \frac{\cos \theta/2}{\sqrt{r}} \text{Im}[g(\zeta)] + (1-2\nu)^2 \left\{ \psi(x, y, z) - c_1 \int_{\infty}^y \frac{\sin \theta/2}{\sqrt{r}} \text{Im}[g'(\zeta)] dy \right\} \quad (31b)$$

in which  $g'(\zeta) = (d/d\zeta)g(\zeta)$  and the following notations have been adopted:

$$\psi(x, y, z) = \frac{R|\zeta_0|^{-2}}{4\mu\pi^2(1-\nu)} \left\{ \frac{yz}{\rho} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{[2a(r-x)]}}{\rho} \right) \right. \\ \left. - (2a)^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{Im} \left[ \zeta_0(2x - \zeta_0)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \ln \left( \frac{\sqrt{(r+x)} + \sqrt{(2x - \zeta_0)}}{\sqrt{\zeta}} \right) \right] \right\} \quad (32) \\ \zeta_0 = [\zeta]_{\theta=0} = x + a + iz.$$

Integrating equation (31b) with respect to  $y$  between the limits  $\infty$  and  $y$  and applying the results

$$\int_{\infty}^y \frac{\cos \theta/2}{\sqrt{r}} \operatorname{Im}[g'(\zeta)] dy = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \operatorname{Im} \int_{\infty}^y \frac{g(t) dt}{\sqrt{(t-\zeta_0)}}$$

$$\int_{\infty}^y \psi(x, y, z) dy = y\psi + \frac{R}{4\mu\pi^2(1-\nu)} \left\{ \frac{z}{\rho} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{[2a(r-x)]}}{\rho} \right) - \sqrt{(2a)} \operatorname{Im} \left[ (\zeta_0)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\zeta_0}{\zeta-\zeta_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \right\} \quad (33)$$

$$\int_{\infty}^y dy \int_{\infty}^y \frac{\sin \theta/2}{\sqrt{r}} \operatorname{Im}[g'(\zeta)] dy = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \operatorname{Im} \left[ \int_{\infty}^y \frac{g(t) dt}{\sqrt{(t-\zeta_0)}} - 2y \int_{\infty}^y g(t) \frac{d}{dt} (t-\zeta_0+2x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dt \right]$$

it is found that

$$f_0 = -\frac{(1-2\nu)R\sqrt{a}}{\sqrt{(2)\mu\pi^2}} \operatorname{Im} \left[ \zeta_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\zeta_0}{\zeta-\zeta_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] + \frac{1-\nu}{\sqrt{2}} [(1-2\nu)c_1 - A] \operatorname{Im} \int_{\infty}^y \frac{g(t) dt}{t-\zeta_0}$$

$$+ (1-2\nu)^2 \left[ y\psi + \frac{Rz}{4\mu\pi^2(1-\nu)\rho} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{[2a(r-x)]}}{\rho} \right) + \frac{c_1 y}{\sqrt{2}} \operatorname{Im} \int_{\infty}^y g(t) \frac{d}{dt} (t-\zeta_0+2x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dt \right]. \quad (34)$$

The remaining boundary condition to be satisfied is equation (10a). Imposing this condition on equation (34) results in

$$[(1-2\nu)c_1 - A] \int_{\zeta_0}^{\infty} \frac{g(t) dt}{\sqrt{(t-\zeta_0)}} = -\frac{(1-2\nu)R\sqrt{a}}{2\mu(1-\nu)\pi} \zeta_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (35)$$

which is a standard integral equation of the Abel type [5] and thus the function  $g(\zeta)$  is readily determined as

$$g(\zeta) = \frac{1}{\zeta} \quad (36)$$

provided that

$$(1-2\nu)c_1 - A = -\frac{(1-2\nu)R\sqrt{a}}{2\mu(1-\nu)\pi^2}. \quad (37)$$

The expressions for the potentials  $f_0$  and  $f_2$  can be simplified further by defining a constant  $c_2$  via the relation

$$c_2 = \frac{\nu R\sqrt{a}}{2\mu\pi^2(1-\nu)} + (1-\nu)c_1. \quad (38)$$

Upon substituting equations (36-38) into equations (31a) and (34) the following expressions are derived

$$f_0(r, \theta, z) = (1-2\nu)^2 \left[ \frac{Rz}{4\mu\pi^2(1-\nu)\rho} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{2(ar)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sin \theta/2}{\rho} \right) + y\psi \right] \\ + \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{2}} \operatorname{Im} \left[ \frac{\sqrt{(r-x)}}{(\zeta_0-2x)} + y(2x-\zeta_0)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \ln \left( \frac{\sqrt{(r+x)} + \sqrt{(2x-\zeta_0)}}{\sqrt{\zeta}} \right) \right] \quad (39)$$

and

$$f_2(r, \theta, z) = c_2 \frac{\cos \theta/2}{\sqrt{r}} \operatorname{Im} \left( \frac{1}{\zeta} \right) + (1-2\nu) \left\{ \psi(x, y, z) \right. \\ \left. - \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{2}} \operatorname{Im} \left[ \frac{\sqrt{(r+x)}}{\zeta(2x-\zeta_0)} - (2x-\zeta_0)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \ln \left( \frac{\sqrt{(r+x)} + \sqrt{(x-\zeta_0)}}{\sqrt{\zeta}} \right) \right] \right\} \quad (40)$$

where  $\psi$  is defined in equation (32). The final step in the analysis is to obtain another expression relating  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ . This may be done by imposing the regularity condition on the displacements as  $r \rightarrow 0$ . Inserting equations (26), (28), (39) and (40) in equations (2) and (3) and carrying out the expansion for small  $r$ , making use of equation (38) and retaining the lowest-order terms, it is found that the displacements near the crack edge become

$$u_r = -\frac{c_1+c_2}{2\sqrt{r}} \frac{z \sin \theta/2}{a^2+z^2} [3-4\nu+(7-8\nu) \cos \theta] + O(r^0) \quad (41a)$$

$$u_\theta = \frac{c_1+c_2}{2\sqrt{r}} \frac{z \cos \theta/2}{a^2+z^2} [3-4\nu-(5-8\nu) \cos \theta] + O(r^0) \quad (41b)$$

$$u_z = O(r^0). \quad (41c)$$

The finite displacements requirement at the crack edge gives

$$c_1+c_2 = 0. \quad (42)$$

It follows from equation (38) that

$$c_1 = -c_2 = -\frac{\nu R\sqrt{a}}{2\mu\pi^2(1-\nu)(2-\nu)} \quad (43)$$

and the solution is complete. The physical quantities of interest may be readily computed from equations (2)-(5) when the appropriate expressions for the harmonic functions in equations (26), (28), (39), (40) and (43) are used.

### STRESSES NEAR CRACK EDGE

The shear stresses across the surface  $\theta = 0$  are computed from equations (4b) and (4c) as

$$\tau_{r\theta}(r, 0, z) = -\frac{4\nu R\sqrt{a}}{\pi^2(2-\nu)} \frac{z(x+a)}{(x)^{\frac{1}{2}}[(x+a)^2+z^2]^2} \quad (44a)$$

$$\tau_{\theta z}(r, 0, z) = -\frac{R\sqrt{a}}{\pi^2(2-\nu)} \frac{(2-3\nu)(x+a)^2+(2+\nu)z^2}{(x)^{\frac{1}{2}}[(x+a)^2+z^2]^2}. \quad (44b)$$

Equations (44) may be expressed in the standard form as

$$\tau_{r\theta}(r, 0, z) = \frac{k_2}{\sqrt{2x}} + O(x^0) \tag{45a}$$

$$\tau_{\theta z}(r, 0, z) = \frac{k_3}{\sqrt{2x}} + O(x^0). \tag{45b}$$

The stress-intensity factors for the edge-sliding and tearing modes of crack extension are expressed in terms of the non-dimensional parameter  $z^* = z/a$  through the relations

$$k_2 = -\frac{4\sqrt{(2)R}}{\pi a^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left( \frac{\nu}{2-\nu} \right) \frac{z^*}{(1+z^{*2})^2} \tag{46a}$$

$$k_3 = -\frac{\sqrt{(2)R}}{\pi^2 a^{\frac{3}{2}}(1+z^{*2})} \left[ 1 - \frac{2\nu}{2-\nu} \frac{1-z^{*2}}{1+z^{*2}} \right]. \tag{46b}$$

The variations of these equations with  $z^*$  are shown in Figs. 2 and 3 for various values of  $\nu$ .





FIG. 2. Variations of  $k_2$  along crack border.

FIG. 3. Variations of  $k_3$  along crack border.

### CLOSURE

The problem of determining stresses and displacements in a three-dimensional elastic solid with a semi-infinite plane crack is reduced to the finding of four distinct harmonic functions. The surfaces of the crack are deformed by the application of concentrated shears ( $R$ ) parallel to the rectilinear boundary as shown in Fig. 1. The analysis reveals that none of the Papkovitch–Neuber potentials appearing in the general solution of the equations of equilibrium can be neglected. Indeed, all of the four functions are needed to satisfy the appropriate boundary and regularity conditions in the problem.

Methods of integral transforms involving the Macdonald function (the so-called Kontorovich–Lebedev transforms) as well as singular solutions of Laplace's equation in three dimensions are employed. The results presented here coupled with the solutions of Uflyand [1] complete the construction of the Green's functions for the semi-infinite crack problem and pave the way for immediate application in a number of problems of interest in fracture mechanics.

*Acknowledgements*—The work reported herein was supported in part by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under Grant 39-007-066 through the Langley Research Center. One of the authors, George C. Sih, wishes to thank Dr. W. F. Fichter for his interest and encouragement in this work.

### REFERENCES

- [1] YA. S. UFLYAND, *Survey of Articles on the Applications of Integral Transforms in the Theory of Elasticity*. North Carolina State University (1965).
- [2] H. BATEMAN, *Differential Equations*. London (1926).
- [3] O. D. KELLOG, *Foundations of Potential Theory*. Dover (1953).
- [4] G. N. WATSON, *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions* (Second Edition). Cambridge University Press (1944).
- [5] E. I. WHITTAKER and G. N. WATSON, *A Course of Modern Analysis* (Fourth Edition). Cambridge University Press (1962).

### APPENDIX

The Kontorovich–Lebedev transform of a function  $\phi(x)$  is defined by the formula

$$\hat{\phi}(t) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\phi(x)}{x} K_{it}(x) dx, \quad (47)$$

where  $\phi(x)$  is assumed to be of class  $L^2$  in the interval  $[0, \infty]$  and  $K_{ii}(x)$  is the Macdonald function which is a solution of

$$\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{x} \frac{dy}{dx} - \left(1 - \frac{t^2}{x^2}\right)y = 0. \quad (48)$$

Assuming that  $[\phi(x)]/x$  is continuously differentiable and  $x\phi(x)$  and  $x(d/dx)\{[\phi(x)]/x\}$  are absolutely integrable in  $[0, \infty]$  then the inverse of equation (47) is given by

$$\phi(x) = \frac{2}{\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \hat{\phi}(t) K_{ii}(x) t \sinh(\pi t) dt. \quad (49)$$

Further details may be found in [1].

(Received 11 May 1972; revised 14 September 1972)

**Абстракт**—В работе исследуется задача упругого инвертного тела, в котором находится полубесконечная плоская трещина, подверженная действию сосредоточенных сдвигов, параллельных к краю трещины. Дается решение в замкнутом виде, используя четыре гармонические функции. Оно удовлетворяет конечному перемещению и сингулярности в напряжении, обратно пропорциональной квадратному корню, на краю трещины. Приводятся явные выражения в виде элементарных функций для распределения напряжений и перемещений в твердом теле. Они получены путем применения интегральных преобразований Фурье и Канторовича-Лебедева и некоторых сингулярных решений уравнений Лапласа в трех размерах. Указываются графически изменения интенсивности поля локальных напряжений вдоль границы трещины.